Designing Model-Free Time Derivatives in the Frequency Domain for Ambient PMU Data Applications

Chetan Mishra, Luigi Vanfretti, Jaime Delaree Jr. and Kevin D. Jones



April 10, 2023

Background

- Model-free derivatives are essential to synchrophasor applications such as frequency estimation, inertia monitoring, sensitivity analysis, etc
 - Each application is typically restricted to a given time scale, for e.g. Thevenin equivalent for steady state/longer time scale
- Ideal derivative + arbitrary level of smoothing based on visual inspection
- · Difficult to do above in ambient conditions
 - Spectral analysis can yield valuable insights into system dynamics
- Introducing a framework for designing high order derivatives in frequency domain for ambient data applications
 - Capable of accounting for time scales of interest



Ambient

Response

40

30

Time(s)

-2.5

-5.0

-7.5

10

20



50

Derivative Design using Maxflat

- Shaping transfer function taylor terms to match desirable gain
- Ideal n^{th} order derivative in frequency domain $\mathcal{F}(y^{(n)}(t)) = (j\omega)^n Y(\omega)$
- Designed as a linear filter

$$Y_{filt}(\omega) = \left(\sum_{i} G_{i}(\omega^{*})(j\omega - j\omega^{*})^{i}\right) Y(\omega)$$
$$G_{i}(\omega^{*}) = \left(\sum_{k=1:N} \frac{b_{k}(-k\Delta t)^{i}e^{-jk\omega^{*}\Delta t}}{i!}\right)$$

- Design specifications
 - Matches ideal derivative at low frequencies

 $G_i(0) = 0, \forall \ 0 \le i \le n-1$

• Suppresses high frequencies (noise + faster irrelevant dynamics) $G_i(\pi f_s) = 0, \forall \ 0 \le i \le N - (n+2)$





Choosing Appropriate Window Size N

- Increased higher frequency suppression with *N*
 - Should be chosen to not result in loss of relevant system behavior
- Power system in ambient conditions can be modeled as stochastic linear system
- Output Frequency Spectrum $S_{yy}(\omega) = \sum_{i} \left| \frac{A_i}{j\omega \lambda_i} + \frac{A_i^*}{j\omega + \lambda^*} \right|$
 - λ_i characterize the time scales of the underlying system dynamics
 - Can be estimated from measurements only => full knowledge of what frequencies can be suppressed in derivative





Results – Synthetic Ringdown Signal

$$y(t) = e^{-0.5t} \sin(2\pi 5t) + e^{-0.2t} \cos(2\pi 2t) + \epsilon(t)$$





Results – Thevenin Equivalent

- The venin equivalent is generally used to approximate the behavior of the rest of the system to local changes in load using a voltage source V_{th} behind an impedance Z_{th}
 - For steady state voltage stability analysis.
 - Z_{th} satisifies $-\Delta I_{ep}(t)Z_{th} = \Delta V_{ep}(t)$ where, $(V_{ep}(t), I_{ep}(t))$ is the trajectory of operating point/equilibrium
- Measured data contains both equilibrium trajectory as well as dynamics around it $(V(t), I(t)) = (V_{dyn}(t) + V_{ep}(t), I_{dyn}(t) + I_{ep}(t))$
 - Equilibrium trajectory is significantly slower than dynamics, mostly separable in frequency domain...can use robust derivative to estimate $\Delta I_{ep}(t), \Delta V_{ep}(t)!$





Results – Frequency Estimation

- Loosely represents derivative of angle $f = \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$
- Estimation algorithms differ among vendors
 - Unable to compare results





Original DFR Freq Estimate Retains High Frequencies





Conclusions/Recommendations

- Derivative estimation framework that accounts for time scale of interest of underlying system dynamics
- Future work will explore using this for state estimation and control design applications

