





# **Point-on-wave Data of EPFL-campus Distribution Network**

Asja Derviškadić, Dr. Guglielmo Frigo and Prof. Mario Paolone **Distributed Electrical Systems Laboratory** 



École

fédérale



NASPI Meeting, Point-on-wave Data of EPFL-campus



## **The Electric Network**

20 kV

- 40 buses
- 30 MW peak
- 6 MW peak CHP
- 2.5 MW peak PV
- 1 MW peak, 0,5 MWh Li-Titanate Energy Storage System

## **EPFL** The Sensing Infrastructure

- 5 P-class PMUs
- FPGA-based → National Instruments cRIO
- Synchrophasor Estimation → e-IpDFT
- TVE ~ 0.0X %
- FE < 0.4 mHz
- GPS sync → 100 ns accuracy
- Voltage sensors → Capacitive 0.1-class
- Current sensors → Rogowsky 0.5-class
- Communication → Twisted pairs + fiber
- Data frames → 50 fps UDP
- 1 PDC







# **EPFL** The Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)

- 560 kWh/720 kVA BESS
- Lithium titanate oxide (LTO) cells
- Series and parallel to form 9 battery racks
- DC bus 590 : 810 V
- Four quadrant DC-AC converter
- 0.3/21 kV, 630 kVA transformer
- Active and reactive power setpoints request to the converter via ModBUS TCP



ЧЦ ЧЦ

DESL

Asja Derviškadić,

## **EPFL** The Waveform Recorder Functionality



### **EPFL** The Acquired Point-on-Wave Data



### **The Signal Model**

The waveforms can be modelled as the sum of three main contributions:  $y(t) = A_1(t) \cdot \cos(2\pi f_1(t)t + \varphi_1(t)) + \eta(t) + \varepsilon(t)$ 

- $A_1, f_1, \varphi_1$  fundamental component time-varying parameters
- $\eta(t)$  narrow-band disturbances, i.e. (inter-)harmonics
- $\varepsilon(t)$  wide-band disturbances, i.e. measurement noise

The proposed PMU is able to identify also the disturbance contributions:

- (inter-)harmonic synchrophasors
- noise statistical model (e.g. PDF)

**OBJECTIVE**: accurate estimation of both fundamental and (inter-)harmonic synchrophasors in dynamic conditions over window lengths in the order of  $10^2$  ms.

### **EPFL** Identification method

In order to track the signal time-variations, the proposed approach considers:

- a window length of 200 ms, i.e. 10 cycles at 50 Hz (as suggested by IEC Std 61000)
- a reporting rate of 100 fps, i.e. update every 10 ms (max rate of IEEE Std c37.118.1)
- Given a signal window, the identification method consists of the following steps:
- 1. DC identification and removal
- 2. fundamental phasor estimation
- 3. harmonic support definition
- 4. Taylor-Fourier expansion basis
- 5. harmonic phasors' estimation
- 6. estimation residual update
- 7. inter-harmonic peak search
- 8. repeat steps 4 5 6
- 9. noise model identification

x = y - E(y) = x - mean(y)  $\{A_1, f_1, \varphi_1\} = x_1 = \text{i-IpDFT}(x)$   $S = \{f_h = f_1 \cdot h \mid h = 2, \dots 50\}$  B = TFM(S, 2)  $\{A_h, f_h, \varphi_h\} = x_h = (B^H B)^{-1} B^H x$   $r = x - \sum_h x_h, \quad h = 1, \dots 50$   $\{f_i\} = \text{findpeak}(r) \rightarrow S = \{f_i \cup f_h\}$   $r = x - \eta = x - \sum_h x_h - \sum_i x_i$  $\varepsilon = \mathcal{N}(\text{mean}(r), \text{ std}(r))$ 

## **EPFL** Harmonic Phasor Extraction

The (inter-)harmonic phasor extraction relies on the combined application of:

- Iterative Interpolated DFT (i-IpDFT)
- Taylor-Fourier basis expansion (cs-TFM)

### i-lpDFT

- based on a static signal model (stationarity assumption)
- IEEE Std C37.118.1 class P + M
- compensation of spectral leakage effects (other tones, neg frequency)

cs-TFM

- based on a dynamic signal model (2nd order Taylor-Fourier expansion)
- IEEE Std C37.118.1 class M
- account for time-varying parameters within the observation window

The combined i-IpDFT + cs-TFM approach allows for a two-fold objective:

- i-IpDFT: accurate definition of fundamental frequency  $f_1 \rightarrow$  spectral support S
- cs-TFM: accurate estimation of time-varying harmonic phasors  $A_h(t) \cdot e^{j\varphi_h(t)}$

## **EPFL** Results: Fundamental Frequency



Acquired three-phase waveforms of voltage V0 (a) and current I-A (b)

• Fundamental frequency  $f_1$  as estimated on V-0 (less distorted than current)



NASPI Meeting, Point-on-wave Data of EPFL-campus

#### Fundamental frequency time-evolution:

- t = [0, 0.8]s is stable around 50.01 Hz
- t = [0.8, 2]s increases up to 50.09 Hz

THD [%]

0.75

0.73

0.79

THD [%]

15.86

14.61

16.20

#### EPFL **Results: Harmonic Phasors**



Harmonic content (THD  $\simeq$  15%)

- highest harmonics within [250, 1250] Hz
- higher amplitude for odd-order harmonics

• Harmonic phasor variability (min-max range) for the current I-A three-phase:



#### Harmonic amplitude range

- high variability also among odd-orders
- scarce correlation between the phases

### **EPFL** Results: Estimated THD

Looking in more detail to the time evolution of 13th order harmonic amplitudes:



Based on these harmonic amplitudes, we compute the corresponding THD:



### **EPFL** Measurement Noise Model



Once removed fundamental and (inter-)harmonics, the residuals are just noise:

It is possible to identify two main components of the recovered meas. noise:

- nearly-stationary trend + DC
- uncorrelated wideband noise

Nearly stationary trend approximated by means of a low-pass filter (Savitzky-Golay filter, polynomial order 3, window length 20 ms).

Uncorrelated wideband noise approximated by means of a Gaussian random variable characterized by residuals' mean and variance.

### **EPFL** Results: Noise Statistical Model

Nearly-stationary trend:



#### Savitzky-Golay filter output

- capable of tracking low-frequency trend
- smoothened 3<sup>rd</sup> order approximation



#### Gaussian noise model

- the model fits well all the three-phases
- comparable histogram distributions

### EPFL Conclusions

We integrated the **waveform recording (WR) functionality** in our DESL-PMU:

- ROCOF measurements govern the recording action
- acquired data repository is available on Github

Acquired data processing enables us to determine the harmonic and interharmonic content and the statistical distribution of measurement noise:

- realistic disturbance levels for network modeling and control applications
- useful information for developing enhanced state estimators or for identifying possible non-linear effects in the acquisition system

In our experimental scenario we noticed:

- voltage waveforms  $\rightarrow$  THD  $\simeq$  1%, SNR  $\simeq$  40 dB
- current waveforms  $\rightarrow$  THD  $\simeq$  16%, SNR  $\simeq$  35 dB
- harmonic phasors with time-varying amplitudes
- harmonic phasors uncorrelated among phases

### EPFL References

### Dataset repository and network configuration:

[1] "Point-on-wave Data of EPFL-campus Distribution Network," [online] available at <a href="https://github.com/DESL-EPFL/">https://github.com/DESL-EPFL/</a>

[2] F. Sossan, E. Namor, R. Cherkaoui, M. Paolone "Achieving the Dispatchability of Distribution Feeders Through Prosumers Data Driven Forecasting and Model Predictive Control of Electrochemical Storage," in IEEE Trans. on Sustainable Energy, no. 7, vol. 4, pp. 1762-1777, April 2016.

### Processing methods and results' discussion:

[3] G. Frigo, A. Derviškadić, A. Bach, and M. Paolone, "Statistical Model of Measurement Noise in Real-World PMU-based Acquisitions," 2019 IEEE SGSMA, accepted.

[4] G. Frigo, A. Derviškadić, A. Bach, P. A. Pegoraro, C. Muscas, and M. Paolone, *"Harmonic Phasor Measurements in Real-World PMU-Based Acquisitions,"* 2019 IEEE I2MTC, accepted.



# **Point-on-wave Data of EPFL-campus Distribution Network**

 École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne Asja Derviškadić, Dr. Guglielmo Frigo and Prof. Mario Paolone Distributed Electrical Systems Laboratory