

# NASPI PARTF

PMU Applications Requirements Task Force

# Scope

- *Quantification* of the effect of errors (that are capable of being parameterized), on applications that use synchrophasor data.
- This will include error sources such as *primary transducers* like PT/CT devices, *synchrophasor accuracy errors*, and *data communication* parameters.
- This project will examine the effect of *application input errors* and attempt to *quantify* input data performance and accuracy required to allow applications to *achieve their intended functions*.

# Venue

- So far we have been successful meeting and working online.
- We will continue to do so, so there are no plans for a future face to face meeting!

# Phases

- A task group within the TF created a white paper (report):  
*“Synchrophasor Data Quality Attributes and a Methodology for Examining Data Quality Impacts upon Synchrophasor Applications”*
  - Funded by DOE/DOC. Lead by Alison, Written by folks at PNNL, NIST, & Alison
  - *This is a **call for comment***: please download the document from [www.naspi.org/partf](http://www.naspi.org/partf)
- Or use the link: <https://www.naspi.org/File.aspx?fileID=1689>
- Please review and comment
- PARTF conference call *soon!* (Date TBD by doodle poll)
- Apply the above methodology to three to five applications

# The white paper

## SynchroPhasor Data Quality Attributes and a Methodology for Examining Data Quality Impacts upon SynchroPhasor Applications

NASPI PMU Applications Requirements Task Force

March 2016

- A brief overview was presented here last Monday.
- Proposes terms and definitions to be used by the project and industry in the future.
- Proposes a methodology for measuring and analyzing applications

### Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Executive Summary .....  | ii |
| 1.0 Introduction.....  | 1  |
| 1.1 Goals for SynchroPhasor Data .....   | 2  |
| 1.2 Overview .....   | 2  |
| 2.0 Terms and Definitions for Data Attributes .....                                | 2  |
| 2.1 Attributes of Single Data Points .....   | 5  |
| 2.1.1 Measurement Specifiers .....   | 5  |
| 2.1.2 Measurement Accuracy and Attribute Accuracy .....                            | 7  |
| 2.1.3 Data Lineage.....  | 9  |
| 2.2 Attributes of Data Sets.....   | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Data Lineage.....  | 13 |
| 2.2.2 Logical Consistency .....  | 14 |
| 2.2.3 Data Completeness .....  | 16 |
| 2.2.4 Characteristics of the Data Process Path .....                               | 16 |
| 2.3 Illustrating Data Set Attributes .....   | 17 |
| 2.3.1 Attributes of Single Data Points .....                                       | 18 |
| 2.3.2 Attributes of Data Sets.....   | 18 |
| 2.4 Attributes of a Live PMU Data Stream .....                                     | 22 |
| 2.4.1 Characteristics of the Data Process Path .....                               | 23 |
| 2.4.2 Data Stream Availability .....   | 24 |
| 2.4.3 Illustrating Live PMU Data Streams .....                                     | 24 |
| 2.5 Summary of Data Attributes.....  | 30 |
| 3.0 Looking at Data from the Application Requirements Viewpoint.....               | 33 |
| 3.1 Requirements for Data Accuracy.....  | 37 |
| 3.2 Requirements for Data Content and Data Coverage.....                           | 38 |
| 3.3 Special Characteristics of the Application – “Training” the application.....   | 39 |
| 3.4 Requirements for the Data Source and Transformations Applied to the Data ..... | 39 |
| 4.0 The Impacts of Data Issues on Specific Applications .....                      | 40 |
| 4.1 Creating the Application Test.....   | 40 |
| 4.2 An Example of Examining the Impact of the Network on PMU Data Quality .....    | 42 |
| 5.0 Conclusions and Next Steps .....   | 44 |
| Bibliography .....   | 45 |
| Appendix A – Tables.....   | 1  |
| Appendix B – Brief Review of Data Quality and Accuracy History .....               | 1  |

# Upcoming *soon* conference call

- This is not to discuss the paper comments
  - That will happen at a later conference call.
- Call for 3 to 5 applications to begin analysis:
  - Key applications
  - High Technology Readiness Level
  - Input from one or only a few PMUs (keep it simple at the beginning)
  - Must have an expert on the application volunteer to lead the analysis task group for each application
- One or more of these projects may be eligible to apply for the current **DOE FOA** for industry
  - Task group lead would need to apply before deadline June 30.
  - Sorry, this work is out-of-scope for the academic DOE FOA ☹️

# Resources for the Analysis Task Groups

- Task Group lead will build a team to work on the analysis
- May draw on resources from
  - Within own organization
  - Other PARTF members
  - Some PARTF members are university professors and may have some students who can help
  - NIST can be a part of all task teams and provide some tools and data
    - NIST will NOT lead any of the task groups
  - PNNL participation is to be determined.
- Proposed time frame for completion of the first few projects: Q3/Q4 2016. This is a soft deadline.

# Students! (and professors)

- NIST is looking for an intern to work in Gaithersburg, MD for the summer (and possibly beyond...)
  - In conjunction with Oak Ridge National Laboratories and University of Tennessee (CURENT)
  - U.S. citizens preferred (we are part of the U.S. Government after all)
    - Foreign citizens will also be considered.
- The project is to analyze the error characteristics of 15 PMUs and develop a “PMU data impairment” class which can impair ideal PMU data to use as input to PMU applications.
  - NIST already has all the error data
  - An interest in data analytics will help
  - This work will result in a journal publication
- Contact: Dr. Yilu Liu at UTK: [liu@utk.edu](mailto:liu@utk.edu)

# Thank you

- Questions, comments, *discussion?*

Allen Goldstein  
PARTF Chair  
NIST

[allen.goldstein@nist.gov](mailto:allen.goldstein@nist.gov)

(301) 975-2101